

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Iatrogenic pneumothorax: Experience of a Moroccan Emergency Center

M.M. El Hammoui^{a,*}, G. Drissi^b, A. Achir^c, A. Benchekroun^b,
A. Benosman^c, E.H. Kabiri^a

^a Department of Thoracic Surgery Mohammed V Military Teaching Hospital, Faculté de médecine et de pharmacie Université Mohamed V Souissi, Rabat, Morocco

^b Department of Surgical Emergencies, Hospital Avicenne, Rabat, Morocco

^c Department of Thoracic Surgery, Hospital Avicenne, Rabat, Morocco

Received 20 May 2012; accepted 3 September 2012

Available online 27 November 2012

KEYWORDS

Pneumothorax;
Iatrogenic;
Drainage

Abstract The incidence of iatrogenic pneumothorax (IPx) will increase with invasive procedures particularly at training hospitals, that is why we have made a retrospective study of the common diagnostic or therapeutic causes of IPx and its impact on morbidity. From January 2011 to December 2011, 36 patients developed IPx as emergencies, after an invasive procedure. Their mean age was 38 years (range: 19–69 years). Of the patients, 21 (58%) were male and 15 (42%) were female. The purpose was diagnostic in 6 cases and therapeutic in 30 cases. In 8 patients (22%) the procedure was performed due to underlying lung diseases and in 28 patients (78%) for other diseases. The procedure most frequently causing IPx was central venous catheterization, with 20 patients (55%), other frequent causes were mechanical ventilation in 8 cases (22%) (of whom we reported 3 cases of bilateral pneumothorax), 6 cases of thoracentesis (16%) and 2 patients had life-saving percutaneous tracheotomy. The majority of our patients were managed by a small chest tube placement (unilateral $n = 30$, bilateral $n = 3$). The average duration of drainage was 3 days (range: 1–15 days), sadly one of our patients died of ischemic brain damage 15 days after tracheotomy.

At training hospitals the incidence of IPx will increase with the increase in invasive procedures, which should only be performed by experienced personnel or under their supervision.

© 2012 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

PALAVRAS CHAVE

Pneumotórax;
Iatrogénico;
Drenagem

Pneumotórax Iatrogénico: experiência de um Centro de Emergência Marroquino

Resumo A incidência de pneumotórax iatrogénico (IPx) vai aumentar com procedimentos invasivos particularmente em hospitais de formação, sendo esse o motivo pelo qual fizemos um estudo retrospectivo do diagnóstico ou das causas terapêuticas comuns de IPx e do seu impacto na morbidade. Desde janeiro de 2011 até dezembro de 2011, 36 pacientes desenvolveram IPx

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hamoumimassine@hotmail.fr (M.M. El Hammoui).

como emergências, depois de um procedimento invasivo. A sua média de idades foi de 38 anos (intervalo: 19-69 anos). Dos pacientes, 21 (58%) eram do sexo masculino e 15 (42%) do sexo feminino. O objetivo era diagnóstico em 6 casos e terapêutico em 30 casos. Em 8 pacientes (22%) o procedimento foi realizado devido a doenças pulmonares subjacentes e em 28 pacientes (78%) por outras doenças. O procedimento que mais frequentemente provocou IPnx foi a cateterização venosa central, com 20 doentes (55%), outras causas frequentes foram a ventilação mecânica, 8 casos (22%) dos quais foram relatados 3 casos de pneumotórax bilateral, 6 casos de toracocentese (16%) e 2 pacientes traqueotomia percutânea de socorro. A maioria dos nossos pacientes foram submetidos à colocação de um pequeno dreno torácico (unilateral $n=30$, bilateral $n=3$). A duração média da drenagem foi de 3 dias (intervalo: 1-15 dias), tendo infelizmente um dos nossos pacientes falecido devido a dano cerebral isquémico, 15 dias após a traqueotomia.

Em hospitais de formação a incidência de IPnx aumentará com o cada vez maior número de procedimentos invasivos, que apenas devem ser desempenhados por pessoal experiente ou sob a supervisão do mesmo.

© 2012 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos os direitos reservados.

Introduction

Pneumothorax is a serious but common complication of invasive chest procedures. Several procedures were identified as causing pneumothorax, but according to the literature the most frequent causes are thoracocentesis, central venous cannulation and barotraumas.

In the present climate of increased use of invasive procedures, the incidence of iatrogenic pneumothorax (IPx) is going to increase particularly in training hospitals. It is for this reason that we want to assess and delineate retrospectively the common diagnostic or therapeutic causes of IPx and its impact on morbidity.

Materials and methods

From January 2011 to December 2011, we listed 36 cases of patients who developed IPx in the emergency department, after an invasive procedure for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes. For each patient details of age, gender, the invasive procedure which caused IPnx, the specific treatment and consequences were recorded.

Results

The mean age was 38 years (range: 19–69 years). Twenty-one (58%) of the patients were male and 15 (42%) were female. All the invasive procedures which caused IPnx were performed as emergencies in the Emergency Department. The purpose of the invasive procedure was diagnostic in 6 cases and therapeutic in 30 cases.

8 patients (22%) had procedures for underlying lung or tracheal diseases and 28 patients (78%) for other diseases (Table 1).

The procedure type most frequently causing IPnx was subclavian and jugular central venous catheterization; 20 patients (55%) (right side: $n=15$; left side: $n=5$). The other frequent causes were barotrauma due to mechanical ventilation (Fig. 1): 8 cases (22%). Of this 8 there were 3 cases with bilateral pneumothorax, 6 cases of thoracocentesis




Figure 1 Chest CT showing a barotraumatic pneumothorax after mechanical ventilation.

(16%) and 2 patients had life-saving percutaneous tracheotomy (Table 2).

Clinical signs compatible with a pneumothorax were found in all patients with associated radiographic signs.

Percutaneous tracheotomies were performed by the physician (not a surgeon) who admitted the patient and indicated a life saving tracheotomy in the intensive care unit.

According to our protocol, once the invasive procedure is accomplished a systematic chest radiograph is taken.

Regardless of the causal procedure, and depending on patient stability and the size of the pneumothorax the majority ($n=33$, 91.6%) of our patients were managed by a small chest tube placement (unilateral $n=30$, bilateral $n=3$).

The chest tube placement was performed by a trained physician, using either the ventral approach (2–3 Intercostals space) in the mid-clavicular line, also called the approach according to Monaldi ($n=8$, chest tube Joly size

Table 1 diseases associated with the iatrogenous pneumothorax.

	Number of invasive procedure for diagnostic purpose	Number of invasive procedure for therapeutic purpose
Spontaneous pleural effusion (HVC-hepatic cirrhosis)	Thoracocentesis: 6	-
Trauma without pleural effusion	-	Venous central catheter: 17 Mechanical ventilation: 3
Respiratory failure (lung disease, COPD, asthma)	-	Mechanical ventilation: 5 Venous central catheter: 3
Facial trauma	-	Tracheotomy: 2 Mechanical ventilation: 2

16), or the lateral approach (4-6 Intercostal space) in the anterior to mid-axillary line, also called the approach according to Bulau (*n*=28, chest tube Joly size 16-18). The tube is connected to a bottle or canister. Suction is often used to help it drain. Otherwise, gravity alone will allow it to drain.

Once the drain was in place, a chest radiograph was taken to check the location of the drain. The tube stayed in for as long as there was air or fluid to be removed, or the risk of air gathering.

Clinical malfunction of the tube with a radiological malposition was noted in 6 cases with a chest tube replacement by a larger size chest tube (Joly size 18). Malfunction was due to the interlobar placement of the tube.

The average of duration of drainage was 3 days (range: 1-15 days); sadly one of our patients died of ischemic brain damage 15 days after percutaneous tracheotomy.

Hospitalization was prolonged due to this treatment in only 10 cases, presumably because of the underlying disease which required long hospitalization.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge Iatrogenous Pneumothorax has very rarely been reported in the English literature; we entered "pneumothorax" AND "iatrogenous" in Pubmed and there were no retrospective or prospective studies about the incidence of this complication which is one of the most common complications of invasive chest procedures. The morbidity associated with pneumothorax, particularly with a chest tube placement, should be a warning to physicians to avoid all unnecessary pneumothorax-related procedures especially in emergencies.

Careful choice of the site for percutaneous central vascular access is recommended, and the internal jugular vein is preferable because of the lower frequency of related pneumothorax, 7 IPx of 424 subclavian puncture site in the study of Pikwer,¹ and 4 IPx of 1552 jugular puncture in the same study. Eisen et al.² obtained similar results and concluded that a higher risk of pneumothorax was associated with the subclavian puncture, in our study 12 of the 20 patients had a subclavian approach, which is explained by anatomical proximity of sub clavian vessels to the pleural space and the greater familiarity of physicians with this site but Schummer et al.³ noted in a prospective study of 1794 catheterizations similar risk of IPx in subclavian and internal jugular site.

Thoracocentesis in order to evacuate recurrent or large pleural effusions is traditionally a safe procedure when performed by an experienced operator. IPx occurred in 19% in the study of Grogan et al.,⁴ and 5.1% in the recent study of Henry et al.⁵ However the latter found no statistically significant association between the occurrence of pneumothorax and the type of needle used, the size of the effusion, the amount of fluid drained, the presence of loculations, the type of thoracocentesis, or the experience of the operator. All our thoracocentesis-related pneumothoraces were performed by training operators so we conclude that thoracocentesis can be difficult and needs a controlled setting.

In our study, we did not use ultrasound control after six thoracocentesis but a chest radiograph control. However, several studies have demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity for thoracic ultrasound in detection of occult pneumothorax in critical care,⁶ and trauma patients.⁷ The absence of lung sliding suggests pneumothorax, but it can occur in multiple other conditions such as mainstem intubation, acute respiratory distress syndrome, or pleural adhesions. The "lung point" is an ultrasound sign with 100%

Table 2 Invasive procedures associated with iatrogenous pneumothorax.

Cause of IPx	n (number of cases)		Right IPx	Left IPx	Bilateral IPx
	20				
Central venous catheterization	Subclavian puncture: 12 Jugular puncture: 8		15	5	-
Barotrauma	8		3	2	3
Thoracocentesis	6		6	-	-
Percutaneous tracheotomy	2		2	-	-

specificity for pneumothorax,⁸ and can be used to determine the size of the pneumothorax.⁹

Very few studies have been published about the role of tracheotomy in the pathogenesis of pneumothorax. Glicklick et al.¹⁰ reported 17% IPx in his earlier study of 45 tracheotomies. Pneumothorax is more significantly possible when tracheotomy is an emergency¹¹. Some authors¹¹ proved experimentally the mechanism of pneumothorax via a pneumomediastinum secondary to air way hyper pressure during tracheotomy and surgery.

Complications with mechanical ventilation are common, and rupture of the pulmonary alveoli or airways after a sudden increase of intra-alveolar pressure is a common cause of pneumothorax. The incidence of pneumothorax during ARDS is less than 10% since the reduction of tidal volume and limiting plateau pressure. The more aggressive use of ventilator pressure characteristics was found to be associated with a higher incidence of pneumothorax, 17% in the study of Miller¹² who noted the major role of protective lung strategy. In our study, the 8 cases with barotrauma had an associated Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and lung obstructive disease which increased the side effects of mechanical ventilation. An existing lung disease such as Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), or asthma is the most common cause of a greater associated incidence of pneumothorax after positive pressure ventilation.¹³ These patients have generally bleb or bulla due to airway obstruction. Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is defined by bilateral pulmonary infiltrates with a decreased lung compliance responsible for high alveolar pressure even using low tidal volume. Chest X-ray or Computed Tomography is used to detect the air leak: pneumothorax or pneumomediastinum with possible risk of haemodynamic complications. Risk factors associated with barotrauma are the underlying disease for mechanical ventilation and a high airway pressure during mechanical ventilation.¹⁴ Alveolar pressure, obtained by measuring plateau pressure at the end of the inspiration, is the best tool for evaluating the risk of alveolar barotrauma. This pressure must be kept below 30 cm H₂O.¹⁴

Barotraumatic pneumothorax was managed by chest tube placement to prevent tension pneumothorax and haemodynamic complications and in our cases the time the chest tube was in place was longer (4 days). We use a preventive protocol in mechanic ventilation to avoid alveolar hyperpressure depending on the underlying lung disease and severity of the ARDS: a controlled low tidal volume ventilation is taken.

It is commonly believed that the size of a pneumothorax is an important determinant of treatment decision particularly as to whether chest tube drainage is required. In our practice we still use clinical and chest radiological data to take a decision; however, a volumetric quantification of pneumothoraces has been performed in a Chinese study¹⁵ by automated computer-aided volumetry in CT images. The results indicated that the inclusion of volume made it the most statistically significant indicators compared to the other tests in which volume was excluded from the clinical parameters.¹⁵ This study provides the evidence for the application of volumetric quantification of pneumothoraces in the management of clinically stable chest trauma patients with traumatic pneumothorax but it is based on computed

tomography images which are not a systematic part of our practice.

Most authors recommend the lateral approach for chest tube insertion.^{16,17} without data documenting why they advise against using the ventral approach. To the best of our knowledge there are no studies which just examine the ventral approach or investigate differences between the two common approaches. Some authors recommend that if an approach other than the lateral is chosen then it should be performed by a thoracic surgeon.^{18,19}

The ATLS manual recommends that the chest tube should "usually" be inserted via the lateral approach.¹⁸ In our protocol, to avoid the potential risk of lacerating the inter-nal mammary artery and to have a safer intercostals space for preparation we use the lateral approach which is frequently described as a "safe zone". We reserve the ventral approach for anterior pneumothoraces.

Conclusion

This review summarizes the current state of etiologies of IPx. Another factor is that we had many attending physicians on-scene. The influence of differing individual skills and different levels of familiarity with invasive procedures can affect the incidence of iatrogenous complications. So it is advisable to use ultrasound guidance whenever possible for central venous cannulation or thoracocentesis. If pneumothorax occurs, it is important to recognize the signs and symptoms particularly during mechanical ventilation or with underlying lung disease. A chest X-ray should be promptly done. Depending on its size and symptoms, treatment can vary from simple observation to a chest tube placement to be performed by experienced personnel or under their supervision even at training hospitals.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this investigation.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that no patient data appear in this article.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors declare that no patient data appear in this article.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Pikwer A, Perstoft I, Davidson B, Keson J. Routine chest X-ray is not required after a low-risk central venous cannulation. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2009;53:1145–52.
2. Eisen LA, Narasimhan M, Berger JS, Mayo PH, Rosen MJ, Schneider RF. Mechanical complications of central venous catheters. *J Intensive Care Med.* 2006;21:40–6.
3. SchummerW, Schummer C, Rose N, Niesen WD, Sakka SG. Mechanical complications and malpositions of central venous

- cannulations by experienced operators. A prospective study of 1794 catheterizations in critically ill patients. *Intensive Care Med.* 2007;33:1055–9.
4. Grogan DR, Irwin RS, Channick R. Complications associated with thoracentesis: a prospective randomized study comparing three different methods. *Arch Intern Med.* 1990;150:873–7.
 5. Colt HG, Brewer N, Barbur E. Evaluation of patient-related and procedure-related factors contributing to pneumothorax following thoracentesis. *Chest.* 1999;116:134–8.
 6. Lichtenstein DA, Mezière G, Lascola N, Biderman P, Courret JP, Gepner A, et al. Ultrasound diagnosis of occult pneumothorax. *Crit Care Med.* 2005;33:1231–8.
 7. Blaivas M, Lyon M, Duggal S. A prospective comparison of supine chest radiography and bedside ultrasound for the diagnosis of traumatic pneumothorax. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2005;12:844–9.
 8. Lichtenstein D, Meziere G, Biderman P, Gepner A. The “lung point”: an ultrasound sign specific to pneumothorax. *Intensive Care Med.* 2000;26:1434–40.
 9. Soldati G, Testa A, Sher S, Pignataro G, La Sala M, Silveri NG. Occult traumatic pneumothorax: diagnostic accuracy of lung ultrasonography in the emergency department. *Chest.* 2008;133:204–11.
 10. Glicklick M, Trump DS, Snyder LF. Tracheotomy in children: life saving of life taking. *Marquette Med Rev.* 1985;31:177–80.
 11. Padman IF, Dawson A, Henschel E, Lehrnan RH. Pathogenesis of mediastinal emphysema and pneumothorax following tracheotomy: experimental approaches. *Chest.* 1974;66:553–6.
 12. Miller MP, Sagy M. Pressure characteristics of mechanical ventilation and incidence of pneumothorax before and after the implementation of protective lung strategies in the management of pediatric patients with severe ARDS. *Chest.* 2008;134:969–73.
 13. Baumann MH. Management of spontaneous pneumothorax. *Clin Chest Med.* 2006;27:369–81.
 14. Thille A, Lellouche F, Brochard L. Barotrauma during mechanical ventilation. *Réanimation.* 2005;14:133–9.
 15. Cai W, Lee JG, Fikry K, Yoshida H, Novelline R, de Moya M. MDCT quantification is the dominant parameter in decision-making regarding chest tube drainage for stable patients with traumatic pneumothorax. *Comput Med Imaging Graph.* 2012 Jul;36:375–86.
 16. Symbas PN. Chest drainage tubes. *Surg Clin North Am.* 1989;69:41–6.
 17. Tomlinson MA, Treasure T. Insertion of a chest drain: how to do it. *Br J Hosp Med.* 1997;58:248–52.
 18. Advanced trauma life support for doctors–student course manual. 7th ed. Chicago: American College of Surgeons; 2004.
 19. Tang AT, Velissaris TJ, Weeden DF. An evidence-based approach to drainage of the pleural cavity: evaluation of best practice. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2002;8:333–40.