

functional capacity, but only with minimum clinically important differences, in the ETG group.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the volunteers, the São Paulo State University (UNESP) for allowing the development of this research, and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.pulmoe.2018.09.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2018.09.005).

Referências

- Vogelmeier CF, Criner GJ, Martinez FJ, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Bourbeau J, et al. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease 2017 Report. GOLD Executive Summary. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2017;195(5):557–82.
- Scharf SM, Maimon N, Simon-Tuval T, Bernhard-Scharf BJ, Reuveni H, Tarasiuk A. Sleep quality predicts quality of life in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *International journal of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*. 2010;6:1–12.
- Ramos EM, de Toledo-Arruda AC, Fosco LC, Bonfim R, Bertolini GN, Guarnier FA, et al. The effects of elastic tubing-based resistance training compared with conventional resistance training in patients with moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized clinical trial. *Clinical rehabilitation*. 2014;28(11):1096–106.
- Nyberg A, Lindstrom B, Rickenlund A, Wadell K. Low-load/high-repetition elastic band resistance training in patients with COPD: a randomized, controlled, multicenter trial. *The clinical respiratory journal*. 2015;9(3):278–88.
- Dunlop DD, Manheim LM, Sohn MW, Liu X, Chang RW. Incidence of functional limitation in older adults: the impact of gender, race, and chronic conditions. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2002;83(7):964–71.
- Mattos IE, do Carmo CN, Santiago LM, Luz LL. Factors associated with functional incapacity in elders living in long stay institutions in Brazil: a cross-sectional study. *BMC geriatrics*. 2014;14:47.
- Troosters T, Gosselink R, Decramer M. Short- and long-term effects of outpatient rehabilitation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized trial. *The American journal of medicine*. 2000;109(3):207–12.
- Smagula SF, Stone KL, Fabio A, Cauley JA. Risk factors for sleep disturbances in older adults: Evidence from prospective studies. *Sleep medicine reviews*. 2016;25:21–30.
- Inoue S, Yorifuji T, Sugiyama M, Ohta T, Ishikawa-Takata K, Doi H. Does habitual physical activity prevent insomnia? A cross-sectional and longitudinal study of elderly Japanese. *Journal of aging and physical activity*. 2013;21(2):119–39.
- Schols AM, Slangen J, Volovics L, Wouters EF. Weight loss is a reversible factor in the prognosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 1998;157 6 Pt 1:1791–7.
- Ribeiro AS, Avelar A, Schoenfeld BJ, Ritti Dias RM, Altamari LR, Cyrino ES. Resistance training promotes increase in intracellular hydration in men and women. *European journal of sport science*. 2014;14(6):578–85.

Isis Grigoletto Silva^{a,*}, Bruna Spolador de Alencar Silva^b, Ana Paula Coelho Figueira Freire^a, Ana Paula Soares dos Santos^a, Fabiano Francisco de Lima^a, Dionei Ramos^a, Ercy Mara Cipulo Ramos^a

^a Department of Physical Therapy, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Faculty of Science and Technology, Presidente Prudente, São Paulo, Brazil

^b Department of Physical Education, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Institute of Biosciences, Rio Claro, São Paulo, Brazil

*Corresponding author. São Paulo State University (UNESP), Faculty of Science and Technology, Presidente Prudente, Brazil, Department of Physical Therapy, Address: 305, Roberto Simonsen St. Presidente Prudente, SP, Brazil. Zip-code: 19060-900. Tel.: +55 18 32295821
E-mail address: isis.grigoletto@hotmail.com (I.G. Silva).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2018.09.005>
2531-0437/

© 2018 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Understanding symptoms variability in outpatients with AECOPD



Dear Editor,

Symptoms are the cornerstone for diagnosing acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD), however little information is available on their variability during these events and on their relationships with objective clinical measures. This study explored changes in patients' symptoms and their relationships with objective clinical measures during AECOPD.

Methods

A longitudinal observational study was conducted with thirty-six outpatients with AECOPD (24 males; 68.4±9.9 years; forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) 50.7±20.4%predicted) recruited from the urgent care of a Central hospital. Patients attended to 4 assessments: until 48 hours of the urgent care visit (T1), 8 days (T2), 15 days (T3) and 45 days (T4) after the hospital visit. Patients' prescriptions included only pharmacological treatment and consisted in antibiotics (n=16; 44.4%), beta-adrenergic agonists (n=2; 5.6%), cholinergic antag-

onists (n=3; 8.3%), associations of bronchodilators with cholinergic antagonists (n=7; 19.4%), anti-inflammatory drugs (n=1; 2.8%), xanthines (n=1; 2.8%) and expectorants (n=6; 16.7%).

Activities-related dyspnoea (modified British Medical Research Council questionnaire – mMRC), dyspnoea and fatigue at rest (modified Borg Scale – MBS), cough, sputum and wheezing symptoms (11-point numerical scale) were registered in each assessment. FEV₁, using a portable spirometer, and quadriceps muscle strength (QMS), using a handheld dynamometer, were also collected.

The number of participants presenting symptoms, the severity of symptoms, FEV₁ and QMS were compared among T1, T2, T3 and T4 using the Cochran or Friedman tests, respectively. Changes in symptoms were correlated with changes in FEV₁ and QMS using the Spearman's correlation coefficient.

Results

Dyspnoea and cough were the most reported symptoms at the onset of AECOPD. The number of patients with dyspnoea at rest, assessed with the MBS (MBS>0), decreased significantly from T1 to T4 (22 vs. 16 vs. 15 vs. 13; p=0.040) (Table 1). No significant differences were observed in the number of patients presenting activities-related dyspnoea, fatigue at rest, cough, sputum and wheezing symptoms. During the time course of the AECOPD, participants presented significantly more i) activities-related dyspnoea in T1, than in T3 (p=0.001) and T4 (p=0.028); ii) dyspnoea at rest in T1 than in T4 (p=0.016); iii) cough in T1 than in T2 (p=0.001), T3 (p<0.001) and T4 (p<0.001) and iii) wheezing in T1 than in T4 (p=0.022) (Table 1).

Changes occurring between T1 and T3 in mMRC correlated inversely with changes in QMS ($r_s=-0.41$; p=0.013) whilst changes in cough ($r_s=0.47$; p=0.021) correlated positively with QMS. Changes in MBS – dyspnoea ($r_s=-0.47$; p=0.004) and fatigue ($r_s=-0.34$; p=0.046) correlated inversely with changes in FEV₁ (Fig. 1). No further correlations were found.

Discussion

Dyspnoea and cough were the most reported symptoms at the onset of AECOPD.^{1,2} Dyspnoea was the most prevalent symptom. Its time-recovery matched previous reports (i.e., 6 to 30 days).^{1,2} Cough was the symptom reported with the highest severity and the first to improve after treatment initiation. In COPD cough is the most common symptom for which individuals seek medical attention and is a cardinal symptom in upper tract infections,³ one of the most common causes of AECOPD. Our results support the need of increasing awareness about cough severity and behaviour. Recognising the cough pattern may aid to guide patients' monitoring and interventions, reduce need for hospitalisation, recurrence of AECOPD and, consequently, costs and morbidity related with these events.

Differences in wheezing were only detected 45 days after the onset of the exacerbation, which differs from previous reports using computerised respiratory sound analysis (i.e., improvements 15 days after the AECOPD).⁴ Lack of agreement between subjective and objective measures have already been reported for other outcomes, such as cough,⁵ and highlights the need for incorporating both patient-reported and clinical outcome measures in the assessment of patients with AECOPD.

Similar to other studies, associations between improvements in dyspnoea and higher expiratory flow rates were found, possibly due to the inflammatory aetiology of the acute exacerbation itself (i.e., reduction in inflammation during recovery from the AECOPD may influence the reduction of dyspnoea and increase expiratory flow rates) and/or reductions in lung hyperinflation.² Nevertheless, both inflammation and hyperinflation were not directly studied in the present research and thus interpretations should be made carefully. A relationship between dyspnoea and QMS was also found, as previously reported in stable patients with COPD, due to the "downward disease spiral" of increased dyspnoea, decreased physical activity and deconditioning of locomotor muscles.⁶ During AECOPD, this downward spiral may be even more prominent as patients severely decrease their activities.

The positive correlation found between changes in cough severity and QMS was unexpected. Whilst cough severity showed significant improvements at day 15 of the AECOPD, QMS remained statistically unchanged during the same period, with 36% of the patients exhibiting decreases in their QMS. Similar results have been found in hospitalised patients, where QMS decreased during the first 8 days of hospitalisation for AECOPD and only recovered at day 90.⁷ Thus, although both outcomes improved during an AECOPD, their timing of improvement differs, which may explain the positive correlation found between changes at T3-T1 between these two outcomes. Studies describing the pattern of QMS recovery in outpatients with AECOPD are needed to confirm these results and aid developing timely and personalised interventions.

Despite the novel findings in symptoms behaviour during AECOPD, this study has some limitations that need to be acknowledged. Treatment of exacerbations was not standardised, but rather prescribed according to the physician best judgment. Although the effects of therapies were not of interest in this study, it must be acknowledged that different combination of treatments might influence the recovery times and outcomes of individual patients. Characterisation of symptoms lack other important features, such as sputum purulence. These data can contribute to infer about the nature of the AECOPD (i.e., infective - viral and/or bacterial - or non-infective) and about the suitability of treatments prescribed. It is thus recommended to add sputum purulence to data collection in future study protocols.

In sum, this study showed that: i) dyspnoea is the most representative symptom at the onset of an AECOPD; ii) severity of cough is the first symptom to improve during the course of an AECOPD, and iii) changes in symptoms were correlated with FEV₁ and QMS, which are predictors of COPD hospitalisations and mortality. Our findings evi-

Table 1 Clinical variables and symptoms variability during the course of an AECOPD.

	AECOPD (T1)	8 days (T2)	15 days (T3)	45 days (T4)	p-value
FEV ₁ , L	0.9 [0.7-1.4]	0.9 [0.7-1.3]	1.1 [0.7-1.6]	1.2 [0.8-1.6]	0.075
QMS, kgf	12.2 [9.2-20.1]	13.9 [10.9-18.6]	13.2 [11.2-21.8]	17.8* [13.3-24.7]	p<0.001
No. patients (mMRC>0)	35	31	32	30	0.091
mMRC	2.0 [2.0-3.0]	2.0 [2.0-2.8]	2.0 [1.0-2.0]*	1.5 [1.0-2.0]*	p<0.001
No. patients (MBS.d>0)	22	16	15	13*	0.040
MBS - dyspnoea	3.0 [0.0-4.0]	0.0 [0.0-2.8]	0.0 [0.0-2.8]	0.0 [0.0-1.8]*	p=0.001
No. patients (MBS.f>0)	17	15	17	11	0.249
MBS - fatigue	0.0 [0.0-3.0]	0.0 [0.0-3.0]	0.0 [0.0-3.0]	0.0 [0.0-2.0]	p=0.001
No. patients (NS.cough>0)	24	23	21	23	0.056
Cough	8.0 [6.0-10.0]	4.0[2.0-5.0]*	3.0 [2.0-5.0]*	2.0 [0.0-4.0]*	p<0.001
No. patients (NS.sputum>0)	22	23	21	24	0.392
Sputum	5.0 [2.0-7.5]	3.0 [1.5-6.0]	3.0 [2.0-4.0]	2.0 [0.5-5.0]	p=0.061
No. patients (NS.wheezeing>0)	20	21	17	19	0.183
Wheezing	6.0 [2.5-10.0]	4.0 [1.0-8.0]	3.0 [0.0-5.5]	2.0 [0.0-4.0]*	p=0.006

Legend: Values are shown as number or median [interquartile range]; significant difference at p<0.05; * different from T1.

FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; mMRC, modified British Medical Research Council questionnaire; MBS.d, modified Borg scale - dyspnoea; MBS.f, modified Borg scale - fatigue; NS, numerical scale; QMS, quadriceps muscle strength.




Figure 1 Correlations between changes from T1 to T3 in A) modified Borg scale - dyspnoea (MBS.d) and forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1); B) modified Borg scale - fatigue (MBS.f) and FEV1; C) modified British Medical Research Council questionnaire (mMRC) and quadriceps muscle strength (QMS); D) Cough, assessed with the numerical scale, and QMS.

dence that timely management of symptoms is essential for patients' recovery and should encourage health professionals to perform a comprehensive evaluation of outpatients with AECOPD using both patients reported symptoms and objective clinical outcome measures.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional (FEDER) through Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização (COMPETE) and Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) under the projects UID/BIM/04501/2013, POCI-01-0145-FEDER-007628 and SFRH/BD/101951/2014. The authors would also like to acknowledge to Helder Melro, Ana Machado and Sara Miranda for their assistance in data collection and to all

patients and physicians from the Centro Hospitalar do Baixo Vouga for their collaboration in this study.

Referências

1. Seemungal TA, Donaldson GC, Bhowmik A, et al. Time course and recovery of exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2000;161:1608–13.
2. Parker CM, Voduc N, Aaron SD, et al. Physiological changes during symptom recovery from moderate exacerbations of COPD. *Eur Respir J.* 2005;26:420–8.
3. Morice AH, Millqvist E, Belvisi MG, et al. Expert opinion on the cough hypersensitivity syndrome in respiratory medicine. *Eur Respir J.* 2014;44:1132–48.
4. Oliveira A, Rodrigues J, Marques A. Enhancing our understanding of computerised adventitious respiratory sounds in different COPD phases and healthy people. *Respir Med.* 2018;138:57–63.
5. Crooks MG, den Brinker A, Hayman Y, et al. Continuous Cough Monitoring Using Ambient Sound Recording During Convalescence from a COPD Exacerbation. *Lung.* 2017;195:289–94.
6. Polkey MI, Moxham J. Attacking the disease spiral in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clin Med (Lond).* 2006;6:190–6.
7. Spruit MA, Gosselink R, Troosters T, et al. Muscle force during an acute exacerbation in hospitalised patients with COPD and its relationship with CXCL8 and IGF-I. *Thorax.* 2003;58:752–6.

Ana Oliveira^{a,b,c}, Alda Marques^{b,c,*}

^a *Faculty of Sports, University of Porto, Portugal*

^b *Lab 3R – Respiratory Research and Rehabilitation Laboratory, School of Health Sciences (ESSUA), University of Aveiro, Portugal*

^c *Institute for Biomedicine (iBiMED), University of Aveiro, Portugal*

* Corresponding author. Senior Lecturer, Lab 3R – Respiratory Research and Rehabilitation Laboratory, School of Health Sciences, University of Aveiro (ESSUA), Agras do Crasto - Campus Universitário de Santiago, Edifício 30, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

E-mail address: amarques@ua.pt (A. Marques).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2018.09.007>
2531-0437/

© 2018 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).