

PULMONOLOGY



www.journalpulmonology.org

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Differences in exercise capacity and health-related quality of life according to the body mass index in patients with COPD



To the Editor

Adequate pharmacological control is essential to avoid complications in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). However, it has been shown that non-pharmacological strategies such as appropriate weight and nutrition control are also important.¹

Increased mortality has been associated in patients with COPD and low body mass index (BMI). Therefore, it is included in some multidimensional indexes.^{2,3} The PLATINO study (Proyecto Latinoamericano de Investigación en Obstrucción Pulmonar), reports that low weight and BMI are

independent risk factors for mortality in people with COPD; this association can be stronger when patients have greater airflow obstruction.³

Consequently, theories such as the "obesity paradox" have emerged, substantiating the need to know the nutritional status of the patient at the time of any intervention. However, in Latin America, including Colombia, studies that relate COPD to BMI, exercise capacity and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) are scarce. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine if there were differences in clinical variables, exercise capacity and HRQoL in patients with COPD, according to their BMI.

A descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out from July 2015 to June 2017. Patients with a previous medical diagnosis of COPD with spirometry and who entered a pulmonary rehabilitation program of the Clinica de Occidente in Cali - Colombia, were included. The Institutional Ethics Committee approved the study.

	Underweight=10	Normal <i>n</i> = 42	Overweight n = 33	Obesity <i>n</i> = 14	Total <i>n</i> = 99	p Value
Age (years)*	70.80 ± 7.16	71.07 ± 10.01	68.64 ± 10.11	72.43 ± 7.73	$\textbf{70.42} \pm \textbf{9.48}$	0.576
Sex	8 (80.0)	27 (64.3)	23 (69.7)	9 (64.3)	67 (67.7)	0.789
Men Women	2 (20.0)	15 (35.7)	10 (30.3)	5 (35.7)	32 (32.3)	
Former Smoker						
Yes	9 (90.0)	35 (83.3)	28 (84.8)	12 (85.7)	84 (84.8)	0.962
No	1 (10.0)	7 (16.7)	5 (15.2)	2 (14.3)	15 (15.2)	
Home Oxygen	8 (80.0)	25 (59.5)	16 (48.5)	7 (50.0)	56 (56.6)	0.320
Yes No	2 (20.0)	17 (40.5)	17 (51.5)	7 (50.0)	43 (43.4)	
Socieconomic	4 (40.0)	20 (47.6)	17 (51.5)	6 (42.9)	47 (47.5)	0.958
Status	5 (50.0)	19 (45.2)	12 (36.4)	7 (50.0)	43 (43.4)	
Low Medium High	1 (10.0)	3 (7.1)	4 (12.1)	1 (7.1)	9 (9.1)	
Exacerbations						
Yes	7 (70.0)	23 (54.8)	16 (48.5)	6 (42.9)	52 (52.5)	0.562
No	3 (30.0)	19 (45.2)	17 (51.5)	8 (57.1)	47 (47.5)	

	Underweight	Normal <i>n</i> = 42	Overweight	Obesity n = 14	Total <i>n</i> = 99	p Value
	n = 10		n = 33	•	,	
HEIGHT	$\textbf{1.61} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	$\textbf{1.62} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	$\textbf{1.62} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	$\textbf{1.63} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	$\textbf{1.62} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	0.938
(meters)						
WEIGHT (kg)	$\textbf{46.9} \pm \textbf{6.8}$	$\textbf{57.7} \pm \textbf{8.8}$	$\textbf{71.1} \pm \textbf{6.6}$	$\textbf{86.7} \pm \textbf{7.6}$	$\textbf{65.2} \pm \textbf{13.9}$	0.000
mMRC	$\textbf{3.6} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{2.8} \pm \textbf{1.1}$	$\textbf{3.0} \pm \textbf{1.1}$	$\textbf{2.8} \pm \textbf{1.2}$	$\textbf{2.9} \pm \textbf{1.1}$	0.186
FEV1 (% PREDICTED)	40.5 ± 20.6	43.6 ± 14.2	$\textbf{42.4} \pm \textbf{16.2}$	43.8 ± 13.4	42.9 ± 15.3	0.938
FVC (%	66.4 ± 26.1	68.4 ± 19.5	$\textbf{66.4} \pm \textbf{24.0}$	$\textbf{70.2} \pm \textbf{15.5}$	67.8 ± 21.0	0.940
PREDICTED)						
FEV1/FVC	$\textbf{57.8} \pm \textbf{14.3}$	61.6 ± 9.4	$\textbf{62.3} \pm \textbf{7.5}$	60.6 ± 9.9	$\textbf{61.3} \pm \textbf{9.4}$	0.613
6MWT DISTANCE	$\textbf{225.2} \pm \textbf{82.9}$	$\textbf{304.9} \pm \textbf{106.7}$	$\textbf{336.9} \pm \textbf{107.1}$	292.7 ± 116.8	$\textbf{305.8} \pm \textbf{109.4}$	0.038
(meters)*						
HR BASAL (bpm)	$\textbf{85} \pm \textbf{12.6}$	$\textbf{84.2} \pm \textbf{14.8}$	$\textbf{82.2} \pm \textbf{11.9}$	$\textbf{78.3} \pm \textbf{7.5}$	$\textbf{82.8} \pm \textbf{12.8}$	0.456
HR FINAL (bpm)	$\textbf{104.3} \pm \textbf{13.2}$	$\textbf{108.1} \pm \textbf{16.4}$	$\textbf{108.7} \pm \textbf{14.7}$	$\textbf{103.6} \pm \textbf{16.2}$	$\textbf{107.3} \pm \textbf{15.4}$	0.681
RR BASAL (bpm)	$\textbf{21} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	$\textbf{22.3} \pm \textbf{12.9}$	$\textbf{19.3} \pm \textbf{4.6}$	$\textbf{19.1} \pm \textbf{2.7}$	$\textbf{20.7} \pm \textbf{8.9}$	0.469
RR FINAL (bpm)	$\textbf{26.1} \pm \textbf{4.7}$	$\textbf{25.5} \pm \textbf{5.2}$	$\textbf{26.3} \pm \textbf{4.5}$	$\textbf{26.2} \pm \textbf{3.1}$	$\textbf{25.9} \pm \textbf{4.6}$	0.889
BORG	$\textbf{0.5} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	$\textbf{0.4} \pm \textbf{0.7}$	$\textbf{0.5} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	$\textbf{0.3} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	$\textbf{0.4} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	0.901
BORG FINAL	$\textbf{3.1} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	$\textbf{2.2} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	$\textbf{2} \pm \textbf{1.8}$	$\textbf{1.7} \pm \textbf{1.7}$	$\textbf{2.2} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	0.306
SpO2 BASAL (%)	$\textbf{93} \pm \textbf{2.6}$	$\textbf{94.1} \pm \textbf{2.8}$	$\textbf{94.1} \pm \textbf{3.4}$	$\textbf{93.9} \pm \textbf{2}$	$\textbf{93.9} \pm \textbf{2.9}$	0.728
SpO2 FINAL (%)	$\textbf{87.2} \pm \textbf{4.1}$	$\textbf{87.9} \pm \textbf{5.9}$	$\textbf{87.7} \pm \textbf{6.5}$	89 ± 6.6	$\textbf{87.9} \pm \textbf{6.0}$	0.891
DESATURATION (%)	$\textbf{5.8} \pm \textbf{3.5}$	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{5.1}$	$\textbf{6.4} \pm \textbf{4.6}$	$\textbf{4.9} \pm \textbf{5.2}$	$\textbf{6.1} \pm \textbf{4.8}$	0.784
VO2e (ml/kg/ min)**	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{2.6}$	$\textbf{8.4} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	$\textbf{8.5} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	$\textbf{7.7} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	$\textbf{8.1} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	0.032
HAD ANSIETY	7.9 ± 4.5	6 ± 4.5	6.70 ± 4.9	6.1 ± 5.4	$\textbf{6.4} \pm \textbf{4.7}$	0.685
HAD DEPRESSION	6.1 ± 4	$\textbf{5.4} \pm \textbf{3.8}$	$\textbf{5.9} \pm \textbf{4.9}$	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{3}$	$\textbf{5.4} \pm \textbf{4.2}$	0.484
SGRQ SYMPTOMS	$\textbf{50.4} \pm \textbf{23.1}$	47.5 ± 17.7	$\textbf{50} \pm \textbf{20.9}$	$\textbf{49.6} \pm \textbf{23.3}$	$\textbf{48.9} \pm \textbf{19.9}$	0.947
SGRQ ACTIVITY***	$\textbf{75.2} \pm \textbf{19.3}$	$\textbf{59.6} \pm \textbf{23.5}$	$\textbf{62.7} \pm \textbf{21.8}$	$\textbf{48.6} \pm \textbf{24.3}$	$\textbf{60.7} \pm \textbf{23.3}$	0.043
SGRQ IMPACT	$\textbf{47.3} \pm \textbf{21.9}$	$\textbf{37.5} \pm \textbf{14.9}$	$\textbf{43.9} \pm \textbf{19.3}$	$\textbf{38.9} \pm \textbf{20}$	$\textbf{40.8} \pm \textbf{18}$	0.279
SGRQ TOTAL	$\textbf{57.6} \pm \textbf{18.1}$	$\textbf{47.5} \pm \textbf{14}$	$\textbf{52.5} \pm \textbf{18}$	$\textbf{46.7} \pm \textbf{18.4}$	$\textbf{50.1} \pm \textbf{16.6}$	0.226

mMRC: modified Medical Research Council, FEV1: Forced Expired Volume in the First Second, FVC: Forced Vital Capacity, FEV1/FVC: Forced Expired Volume in the First Second/Forced Vital Capacity ratios, SpO2: Oxygen Saturation, VO2e: Consumption Oxygen estimated, 6MWT Distance: 6-Minutes Walk Test Distance, HAD: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, SGRQ: Saint George Respiratory Questionnaire, HR: Heart Rate, RR: Respiratory Rate

The patients were divided into four groups, according to the BMI Classification of the World Health Organization (WHO): Underweight (BMI less than 18.5 kg/m²), Normal weight (BMI \geq 18.5 and less than 25.0 kg/m²), Overweight (BMI \geq 25.0 and less than 30.0 kg/m²), and Obesity (BMI \geq 30.0 kg/m²).

Spirometry and baseline dyspnea with the modified medical research council (mMRC) scale were assessed. At the beginning and at the end of the 6-minute walk test (6MWT), patients were measured for distance, VO2 estimated (3.5 ml/kg/min + (speed m/min \times 0.1), heart rate, respiratory rate, modified Borg dyspnea scale, and oxygen saturation (SpO2).

Anxiety/Depression was evaluated using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) questionnaire (8 as the cut-off point). Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) was

measured using the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ).

The descriptive analysis was carried out for all the variables. To determine the differences according to the BMI group, ANOVA tests were performed, and Dunnett's T3 test confirmed the inter and intragroup differences. As a result, 99 patients organized according to BMI were linked into Underweight n = 10, Normal n = 42, Overweight n = 33, Obesity n = 14.

Table 1 shows the patients' characteristics. Table 2 depicts the BMI and clinical variables. The variables distance in 6MWT, VO2e, and the Activity domain of the SGRQ showed statistically significant differences within the groups. Regarding the distance in the 6MWT, the BMI of the underweight and obese groups showed intergroup differences (95% CI under-Normoweight (7.10–152.38) under-

^{*} Statistically significant intergroup differences Underweight vs Normal p-value = 0.010 and Underweight vs Overweight p-value = 0.015

^{**} Statistically significant intergroup differences Underweight vs Overweight p-value = 0.045

^{***} Statistically significant intergroup differences Underweight vs Obesity p-value = 0.038

Overweight (37.11–186.19), compared with Normal and overweight BMI. There was a similar finding for VO2e. The Activity domain of the SGRQ showed statistically significant differences.

These findings reveal that patients who were overweight, according to the BMI, had better results in terms of exercise capacity and HRQoL. These changes could have several explanations: patients with sustained hypoxia and oxidative metabolism could experience muscle wasting. Our study supports this thesis and identifies the need to strengthen patient care strategies, supporting the use of drug treatment accompanied with non-drug strategies such as pulmonary rehabilitation and nutritional intervention (e.g., proper food and supplementation).

Regarding the evaluation of functional exercise capacity, it was found that the overweight group reached more distance on the 6MWT and obtained greater VO2e, followed by the normal BMI group. Physical inactivity is linked to early loss and wasting of muscle function, which can further decrease physical activity and exercise tolerance. This could also explain why patients deteriorated more significantly in the Activity domain of the HRQoL. In addition, the literature reports that obesity in this type of patient allows them to have greater metabolic reserve, which leads to better survival rates and fewer exacerbations and emergency visits.

According to the results, patients with a diagnosis of COPD who were overweight had better exercise capacity and HRQoL in the activities domain compared to patients with normal weight and malnutrition. However, these findings will have to be confirmed with large intervention studies.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest

References

 Koniski ML, Salhi H, Lahlou A, Rashid N, El Hasnaoui A. Distribution of body mass index among subjects with COPD in the Middle

- East and North Africa region: data from the BREATHE study. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2015: 1685—94.
- Spelta F, Fratta Pasini AM, Cazzoletti L, Ferrari M. Body weight and mortality in COPD: focus on the obesity paradox. Eat Weight Disord. 2018;23(1):15–22. Feb.
- Montes de Oca M, Talamo C, Perez-Padilla R, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and body mass index in five Latin America cities: the PLATINO study. Respir Med. 2008;102:642–50.
- Brigham JAA E, Brook RD, Calverley PMA, et al. Challenging the obesity paradox: effect of extreme obesity on COPD mortality in patients with heightened cardiovascular risk. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;197:A7495.
- Debevec T, Ganse B, Mittag U, Eiken O, Mekjavic IB, Rittweger J. Hypoxia aggravates inactivity-related muscle wasting. Front Physiol. 2018;9:494.
- **6.** Byun MK, Cho EN, Chang J, Ahn CM, Kim HJ. Sarcopenia correlates with systemic inflammation in COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2017;12:669–75.
- Zamzam MA AN, El Wahsh RA, Ragab AZ, Allam EM. Quality of life in COPD patients. Egypt J Chest Dis Tuberc. 2012;61 (4):281–9.
- **8.** Schols AM, Ferreira IM, Franssen FM, et al. Nutritional assessment and therapy in COPD: a European Respiratory Society statement. Eur Respir J. 2014;44:1504–20.

Jhonatan Betancourt-Peña^a, Juan Carlos Ávila-Valencia^b, Diana Milena Diaz-Vidal^c, Vicente Benavides-Córdoba^{d,*}

^a Institución Universitaria Escuela Nacional del Deporte, Universidad del Valle, Colombia

^b Clínica de Occidente, Universidad Santiago de Cali, Institución Universitaria Escuela Nacional del Deporte, Colombia

^c Universidad San Buenaventura Cartagena, Colombia ^d Universidad del Valle, Institución Universitaria Escuela Nacional del Deporte, 760036, Colombia

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: vicente.benavides@correounivalle.edu.co (V. Benavides-Córdoba).

Received 8 August 2020; Accepted 4 July 2021 Available online 21 July 2021