

PULMONOLOGY





ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparison of cryobiopsy and forceps biopsy for the diagnosis of mediastinal lesions: A randomised clinical trial



T.-L. Cheng^a, Z.-S. Huang^a, J. Zhang^a, J. Wang^a, J. Zhao^a, K. Kontogianni^c, W.-L. Fu^e, N. Wu^d, W.M. Kuebler^b, F.J. Herth^c, Y. Fan^a,*

^a Department of Respiratory Disease, Xinqiao Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China

^b Department of Pathology, Xinqiao Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China

^c Department of Pneumology and Critical Care Medicine, Thoraxklinik, and Translational Lung Research Center Heidelberg, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

^d Department of Epidemiology, College of Preventive Medicine, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China

 $^{
m e}$ Institute of Physiology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Received 16 November 2023; accepted 12 December 2023 Available online 5 January 2024

KEYWORDS	Abstract
Endobronchial ultrasound; Cryobiopsy; Forceps biopsy; Mediastinum; Interventional pulmonology	 Introduction: Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) is the standard approach for lung cancer staging. However, its diagnostic utility for other mediastinal diseases might be hampered by the limited tissue retrieved. Recent evidence suggests the novel sampling strategies of forceps biopsy and cryobiopsy as auxiliary techniques to EBUS-TBNA, considering their capacity for larger diagnostic samples. Methods: This study determined the added value of forceps biopsy and cryobiopsy for the diagnosis of mediastinal diseases. Consecutive patients with mediastinal lesions of 1 cm or more in the short axis were enrolled. Following completion of needle aspiration, three forceps biopsies and one cryobiopsy were performed in a randomised pattern. Primary endpoints included diagnostic yield defined as the percentage of patients for whom mediastinal biopsy led to a definite
	diagnosis, and procedure-related complications. <i>Results</i> : In total, 155 patients were recruited and randomly assigned. Supplementing EBUS-TBNA with either forceps biopsy or cryobiopsy increased diagnostic yield, with no significant difference between EBUS-TBNA plus forceps biopsy and EBUS-TBNA plus cryobiopsy (85.7 % versus 91.6 %, P = 0.106). Yet, samples obtained by additional cryobiopsies were more qualified for lung cancer molecular testing than those from forceps biopsies (100.0 % versus 89.5 %, $P = 0.036$). When com- pared directly, the overall diagnostic yield of cryobiopsy was superior to forceps biopsy (85.7 % versus 70.8 %, $P = 0.001$). Cryobiopsies produced greater samples in shorter procedural time than forceps biopsies. Two (1.3 %) cases of postprocedural pneumothorax were detected.

* Correspondence author at: Department of Respiratory Disease, Xinqiao Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China. *E-mail address*: fan_ye_sat@hotmail.com (Y. Fan).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2023.12.002

^{2531-0437/© 2023} Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Conclusions: Transbronchial mediastinal cryobiopsy might be a promising complementary tool to supplement traditional needle biopsy for increased diagnostic yield and tissue harvesting. *Trial registration:* ChiCTR2000030373

© 2023 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Key messages

What is already known on this topic

Forceps biopsy and cryobiopsy are recently recognised as innovative auxiliary techniques to EBUS-TBNA for mediastinal sampling. Nevertheless, it remains unclear which of the two techniques is the superior match for standard needle biopsy.

What this study adds

Despite the similar overall diagnostic yield and safety profile, additional cryobiopsy is capable of producing greater quantity of intact mediastinal tissues while shortening the procedural duration, giving rise to increased sample suitability for lung cancer molecular testings than forceps biopsy. Cryobiopsy had an enhanced diagnostic accuracy when compared directly with forceps biopsy.

How this study might affect research, practice, or policy

Our results suggest that mediastinal cryobiopsy might be a suitable complementary approach to traditional needle sampling. Larger studies are required to further validate and broaden the findings.

Introduction

For mediastinal disorders, invasive biopsy is an important diagnostic step that provides tissues for pathological and molecular evaluations. Historically, mediastinoscopy or thoracoscopy used to be considered the reference standard technique for obtaining mediastinal materials, yet endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA), with its super safety profile and promising diagnostic ability has recently revolutionized this field and has become the mainstream approach.^{1,2} Although serving as the preferred initial tool for mediastinal staging of patients with lung cancer, one of the innate limitations of EBUS-TBNA is the restricted availability of intact tissue obtained by needle puncture, which usually suits for a cytological but not histopathological diagnostic workup, and thus might give suboptimal yields specifically in lesions of non-lung cancer aetiologies that are often more challenging to diagnose.³⁻⁵ To circumvent these limitations, other mediastinal biopsy techniques based on minimally invasive procedures have been proposed for higher accuracy. Forceps biopsy performed under real-time EBUS guidance, a relatively new technique to extract more mediastinal tissue, shows augmented sample quality and consequently improved diagnostic yield, especially in sarcoidosis and lymphoma. 6

Transbronchial biopsy with a cryoprobe has been introduced over the past decade and is typically used for pulmonary parenchyma sampling in interstitial lung disease.⁷ This approach has proven superior to forceps biopsy in overall diagnostic yield as it provides for additional relevant pathological information due to larger samples of lung tissue without crushing artifacts.^{8,9} Inspired by the successful implementation of cryoprobes in the collection of lung tissue, we were the first to show that transbronchial mediastinal cryobiopsy with EBUS guidance is an equally safe while more efficient approach for the acquisition of diagnostic tissues compared to needle sampling, particularly for uncommon tumours and benign disorders.^{10,11} Moreover, we and other groups have previously demonstrated that both forceps biopsy and cryobiopsy offer additional diagnostic benefits to EBUS-TBNA.^{12,13} However, it has yet to be determined which of these two emerging techniques is more compatible with conventional needle strategy in terms of added diagnostic gain relative to added risk. Hence, the present study prospectively evaluated the added value of transbronchial mediastinal cryobiopsy versus forceps biopsy as an adjunctive approach to standard EBUS-TBNA for the diagnosis of mediastinal diseases, with diagnostic yield and procedurerelated adverse events as the primary outcomes.

Methods

For the details of the study protocol please see Supplement.

Study design

We designed a randomised trial assessing the clinical value of complementary forceps biopsy and cryobiopsy in patients with mediastinal lesions. Eligible participants were randomly assigned to receive EBUS-TBNA followed by either forceps biopsy first or cryobiopsy first and subsequently the respective complementary technique with a distribution of 1:1. The study protocol was approved by the research ethics committees of the participating centres, and had been registered at the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR2000030373). All participants provided written informed consent before bronchoscopy.

Participants

Patients over 15 years of age with at least one mediastinal lesion (1 cm or more in the short axis) referred for diagnostic

bronchoscopy to the Thoraxklinik Heidelberg or Xinqiao Hospital, respectively, were eligible to enter the trial. The sample size was estimated based on the results of our prior trial and the largest prospective study of EBUS-forceps biopsy, which indicates a 17.4 % increase in the diagnostic yield of cryobiopsy over forceps biopsy for the major mediastinal diseases.^{12,14} Accordingly, to achieve 90 % power at a type I error rate of 0.05, a sample size of at least 76 patients per study group was needed.

Procedures

In all participants, mediastinal endosonographies were performed by a skilled bronchoscopist using a dedicated bronchoscope (BF-UC260F-OL8 or BF-UC260F, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). In brief, patient airways were first endoscopically and ultrasonographically examined. Once identified by EBUS, the location, size, and blood supply of the suspected nodes were recorded. Next, needle aspiration (four passes) was performed as specified below, follow by three forceps biopsies and one cryobiopsy in random order. Bronchoscopic procedures were performed as previously described.^{11,15} The pathologic specimens were obtained and further evaluated by pathologists that were unaware of the patient enrollment for a clinical trial.

Outcomes

The primary end-points of this trial were first, the diagnostic yields of mediastinal cryobiopsy and forceps biopsy as either a supplementary approach to standard needle aspiration or as an individual biopsy technique, which was defined as the percentage of patients for whom mediastinal biopsy provided a definite diagnosis, and second, EBUS procedure-related adverse events such as airway bleeding, pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, or mediastinitis.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were presented as counts and percentages, and continuous variables were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses were performed using PASW Statistic 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Pearson's chi-square or Fisher exact test was used to compare proportions, as appropriate. For continuous data, differences between groups were determined using Student's *t*-test or Mann-Whitney *U* test for parametric or non-parametric data, respectively. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All reported P values were two-sided.

Results

Patient characterization

Between October 2021 and April 2022, 155 consecutive patients [40 (25.8 %) at Thoraxklinik Heidelberg, 115 (74.2 %) at Chongqing Xinqiao Hospital] with mediastinal lesions requiring diagnostic bronchoscopy were enrolled and randomised (Fig. 1). EBUS procedures under moderate sedation were well-tolerated by all patients, and each biopsy was technically successful. One (0.6 %) participant from the

cryobiopsy first group declined all further investigations post-discharge, and was hence excluded from the diagnostic yield analyses. Baseline characteristics of patients in each trial group are shown in Table 1.

Diagnostic yield

The results of the biopsies are presented in Table 2. Overall, mediastinal specimens arrived at a definite diagnosis in 143 (92.9 %) patients. Consistent with earlier reports, needle aspiration followed by either transbronchial forceps biopsy or mediastinal cryobiopsy resulted in a considerable enhancement of the overall diagnostic yield compared to EBUS-TBNA alone (**Supplemental Table 1** and **2**). Of note, an extra benefit in the diagnostic yield for benign diseases was only obtained by additional mediastinal cryobiopsy (78.7 % versus 59.6 %, P = 0.044), but not forceps biopsy (66.0 % versus 59.6 %, P = 0.522).

The combination of EBUS-TBNA with either forceps biopsy or cryobiopsy did not differ in overall diagnostic yield (P = 0.106) or for the different subgroup populations. In nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), all samples collected by TBNA plus cryobiopsy were suitable for lung cancer genomic and PD-L1 immunohistochemical testings, while this fraction was lower at 89.5 % for TBNA plus forceps biopsy (P = 0.036) (**Supplemental Table 3**). Diagnostic yields were unaffected by the sequence of biopsies (Table 3).

We next compared forceps biopsy alone versus cryobiopsy alone for diagnosis of mediastinal diseases, which revealed an overall diagnostic yield of 70.8 % for forceps biopsy and 85.7 % for cryobiopsy, respectively (P = 0.001) (Table 4). Subgroup analyses demonstrated a substantial advantage in diagnostic accuracy of cryobiopsy for patients with common lung cancer, as compared to forceps biopsy (92.6 % versus 77.8 %, P = 0.008). Cryobiopsy also tended to provide a higher diagnostic yield in rare tumors and benign disorders than forceps biopsy, although these trends did not achieve statistical significance (82.6 % versus 65.2 %, P = 0.179 for rare tumors; 74.5 % versus 61.7 %, P = 0.184 for benign disorders; respectively). Notably, the superiority of cryoprobe over forceps was not affected by biopsy sequence, patient and lesion characteristics, or participating centre (data not shown).

In 11 (7.1 %) cases, the acquired materials were not diagnostic yet qualified for histological examination, in spite of all accessible EBUS-guided approaches. One of them was subsequently diagnosed as nuclear protein in testis (NUT) midline carcinoma after surgical resection of the lesions, and one was diagnosed as NSCLC by a CT-guided percutaneous needle aspiration biopsy. The remaining 9 patients declined further invasive testing. However, their initially presumed benign diagnosis was verified by regression or stabilization of lesion size in radiologic images over a follow-up period of at least 6 months; therefore, no further workup was pursued.

Adverse event

There were no severe complications observed at the time of procedure, or at the 4-week follow-up (Table 3). Minor bleeding that did not necessitate haemostatic control was the most frequently encountered adverse event. Two (1.3 %) cases of pneumothorax were detected by chest radiography, yet none required drainage or other interventions.



Fig. 1 Patient flow. TBNA, transbronchial needle aspiration.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics.				
	Forceps biopsy first group (n = 77)	Cryobiopsy first group (n = 78)	P value	
Age (year)	57.7 (13.3)	57.3 (11.1)	0.846	
Sex			0.138	
Female	21 (27.3)	30 (38.5)		
Male	56 (72.7)	48 (61.5)		
Ethnic origin			0.962	
Asian	57 (74.0)	58 (74.4)		
Caucasian	20 (26.0)	20 (25.6)		
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.8 (3.2)	24.4 (3.9)	0.268	
Smoking (pack-year)	21.5 (29.6)	19.2 (23.9)	0.702	
Lesion size (short axis, cm)	2.8 (1.2)	2.7 (1.1)	0.459	
Lesion station			0.132	
7	26	30		
11R	9	10		
10R	4	6		
4R	21	11		
2R	5	2		
12L	1	0		
11L	1	8		
10L	5	4		
4L	5	7		

Data are mean (SD) or n (%). BMI, body mass index.

	Total (<i>n</i> = 154)	TBNA plus forceps biopsy (n = 154)	TBNA plus cryobiopsy (n = 154)	P value
Diagnostic yield				0.106
No	11 (7.1)	22 (14.3)	13 (8.4)	
Yes	143 (92.9)	132 (85.7)	141 (91.6)	
Common tumor				1.000
n	81 (52.6)	80 (51.9)	80 (51.9)	
Lung, adenocarcinoma	36	36	36	
Lung, squamous cell	15	14	14	
Lung, large cell	6	6	6	
Lung, NSCLC (NOS)	1	1	1	
Lung, small cell	23	23	23	
Other metastatic carcinoma				_
n	3 (1.9)	3 (1.9)	3 (1.9)	
Breast cancer	2	2	2	
Colon cancer	1	1	1	
Uncommon tumor				0.412
n	23 (14.9)	18 (11.7)	21 (13.6)	
Lung, sarcomatoid	3	3	3	
Lymphoma	15	12	14	
NUT midline carcinoma	1	0	0	
Schwannoma	1	1	1	
SMARCA4-UT	1	0	1	
Thymoma	2	2	2	
Benign disorder				0.167
n	47 (30.5)	31 (20.1)	37 (24.0)	
Castleman's disease	1 ΄	0 ` ´	1 ΄	
Sarcoidosis	12	9	11	
Tuberculosis	15	13	15	
Tumor-associated	1	1	1	
granulomas				
Pneumoconiosis	9	8	9	

T-LI- 0		(EBUS-TBNA plus forceps biopsy versus EBUS-TBNA plus cryobiopsy).	
		(FRUN-TRNA DIUS TORCEDS DIODSV VERSUS FRUN-TRNA DIUS CRYODIODSV)	
	Diagnostic yield analyse		

Data are n (%). TBNA, transbronchial needle aspiration; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; NOS, not otherwise specified; SMARCA4-UT, SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumor.

Sample size and adequacy

Samples harvested by one cryobiopsy were larger in size even when compared to the combined tissue from three biopsies using a 1.9 mm standard-sized forceps (8.1 \pm 3.2 mm² versus 2.1 \pm 1.1 mm², *P*<0.001) (Supplemental Figure 1). Each biopsy specimen was deemed sufficient (Supplemental Figure 2).

Operation time

The overall duration of each EBUS examination was 22.0 \pm 6.3 min (range 12.3 - 42.3 min). The procedural time for one cryobiopsy was significantly shorter as compared to the time required for the three forceps biopsies (1.7 \pm 1.5 versus 3.3 ± 1.8 min; *P*<0.001).

Discussion

In this prospective, dual-centre, randomised clinical trial, we determined the clinical value of transbronchial forceps biopsy and mediastinal cryobiopsy in addition to standard needle biopsy for the diagnosis of mediastinal lesions and perihilar lymphadenopathy. Our data show a significant increase in diagnostic yield by the combination of EBUS-TBNA with either forceps biopsy or cryobiopsy. No significant difference in diagnostic yield was detected between the two combinations. Yet, additional cryobiopsy is less time-consuming, and provides for larger specimens that are better suited for lung cancer molecular genetic analysis and immunological profiling. Direct comparison of the two adjunct approaches yielded a higher overall sensitivity of cryobiopsy alone for mediastinal diseases.

Guidelines recommend EBUS-TBNA as the method of choice for accessing the mediastinum, and especially for the detection of lung cancer metastases.^{3,16} Yet, endoscopyguided needle aspiration is limited by the small amount of retrieved material that in many cases can only be used for cytologic evaluation, making the diagnosis of mediastinal lesions from non-lung cancer causes challenging.^{17,18} Given the revolution of lung cancer management that has shifted from empirical chemotherapy to a personalized approach, alternative ways capable of harvesting sufficient high-quality materials enabling molecular and immunological information have attracted evolving interests. In an attempt to

	Forceps biopsy first group (n = 77)	Cryobiopsy first group (n = 78)	P value
Diagnostic yield*			
Overall			0.348
No	4 (5.2)	7 (9.1)	
Yes	73 (94.8)	70 (90.9)	
Forceps biopsy			0.859
No	23 (29.9)	22 (28.6)	
Yes	54 (70.1)	55 (71.4)	
Cryobiopsy			1.000
No	11 (14.3)	11 (14.3)	
Yes	66 (85.7)	66 (85.7)	
Procedure time (minute)	22.4 (6.1)	21.6 (6.4)	0.401
Adverse event			
Bleeding			
Grade 1	37 (48.1)	36 (46.2)	0.813
Grade 2	3 (3.9)	3 (3.8)	1.000
Grade 3	1 (1.3)	2 (2.6)	1.000
Grade 4	0 (0)	0 (0)	_
Pneumothorax	1 (1.3)	1 (1.3)	1.000
Pneumomediastinum	0 (0)	0 (0)	_
Death	0 (0)	0 (0)	_

 Table 3
 Diagnostic yield analyses, procedural duration, and complications (forceps biopsy first versus cryobiopsy first)

Data are n (%) or mean (SD).

For diagnostic yield analyses, number of patients in cryobiopsy first group is 77.

improve the acquisition of histological tissue from mediastinal lymphadenopathies, EBUS-guided forceps biopsy has recently been developed. Several studies have demonstrated its improved diagnostic yields for sarcoidosis and lymphoma.^{6,13,19} Yet, the largest prospective study of mediastinal forceps biopsy so far suggested in fact a lower sensitivity for the detection of malignancies as compared to standard EBUS-TBNA.¹⁴ Motivated by the potential of cryobiopsy to produce sizeable and well-preserved lung specimens, we recently used the cryoprobe as a novel sampling technique for the diagnosis of mediastinal disease.^{10,11,20,21} In our prior clinical studies, cryoprobe proved superior to standard needle aspiration, in part due to its capacity to obtain sufficient tissue samples for detailed histopathological assessment.¹¹

Of late, there is a rising interest in combined application of needle aspiration and either forceps biopsy or cryobiopsy, aiming at synergistic accuracy.^{12,22} However, despite a substantial and rapidly expanding body of literature, two critical questions have so far remained unanswered: a) which of these two techniques, forceps or cryobiopsy, may yield the higher diagnostic accuracy either alone or in combination with EBUS-TBNA, and b) which technique is better suited for needle biopsy?

In the past, we have been among the pioneers in the use of forceps biopsy and cryobiopsy for endobronchial and peripheral pulmonary lesion sampling, indicating an overall superiority of cryobiopsy over forceps biopsy for establishing a diagnosis, in part due to higher sample volumes and better sample quality.²³⁻²⁵ To directly compare the usefulness of forceps versus cryobiopsy for the diagnosis of mediastinal lesions, we initiated this prospective study. The exceptional performance of cryosampling in view of its ability to provide the largest mediastinal tissue samples among the minimally invasive endoscopic techniques translated into a superior overall diagnostic yield. Particularly, cryobiopsy enhances accuracy in the detection of mediastinal metastases, and produces samples more conducive to lung cancer molecular testings, which is consistent with prior observations reported in the literature.^{14,26,27} Technical difficulties have previously been proposed as reasons for the relatively inferior performance of forceps biopsies, such as acute angles of forceps penetration or a fibrotic mediastinum.¹⁴ However, taking into account the adequate samples harvested by forceps in our study, we consider its inferiority in mediastinal metastases might primarily be the result of the small tissue size and crush artifacts, which subsequently narrows the scope of sampling and dampens specimen quality. Cryobiopsy, on the other hand, provides for a greater quantity of intact tissue without crush artifacts, and may thus overcome the problem of lesion heterogeneity within metastatic lymph nodes, consequently minimizing the likelihood of a false negative diagnosis. Likewise, a better diagnostic yield for rare tumors and benign disorders was shown for cryobiopsies, although this difference was not statistically significant.

Consistent with previous studies, both forceps biopsy and cryobiopsy increased the overall diagnostic yield of needle aspiration when used as complementary techniques in addition to EBUS-TBNA.^{12,13} In contrast to the superior efficacy of cryobiopsy in the head to head analyses, the added values of these two combined strategies did not differ significantly. Yet, the amount of material obtained from one cryobiopsy exceeded that from three biopsies with large forceps, while demanding less procedural time. In addition, lung cancer patients may benefit from combined needle aspiration and cryobiopsy due to the increased suitability of the obtained tissue for molecular testings. In line with our prior report,

	Total (<i>n</i> = 154)	Forceps biopsy (n = 154)	Cryobiopsy (n = 154)	P value
Diagnostic yield				0.001
No	11 (7.1)	45 (29.2)	22 (14.3)	
Yes	143 (92.9)	109 (70.8)	132 (85.7)	
Common tumor				0.008
n	81 (52.6)	63 (40.9)	75 (48.7)	
Lung, adenocarcinoma	36	29	35	
Lung, squamous cell	15	7	11	
Lung, large cell	6	3	6	
Lung, NSCLC (NOS)	1	1	0	
Lung, small cell	23	23	23	
Other metastatic carcinoma				1.000
n	3 (1.9)	2 (1.3)	3 (1.9)	
Breast cancer	2	1	2	
Colon cancer	1	1	1	
Uncommon tumor				0.179
n	23 (14.9)	15 (9.7)	19 (12.3)	
Lung, sarcomatoid	3	3	3	
Lymphoma	15	11	13	
NUT midline carcinoma	1	0	0	
Schwannoma	1	0	1	
SMARCA4-UT	1	0	1	
Thymoma	2	1	1	
Benign disorder				0.184
n	47 (30.5)	29 (18.8)	35 (22.7)	
Castleman's disease	1	0	1	
Sarcoidosis	12	9	11	
Tuberculosis	15	11	13	
Tumor-associated granulomas	1	1	1	
Pneumoconiosis	9	8	9	
Sample size (mm ²)	-	2.1 (1.1)	8.1 (3.2)	<0.001
Biopsy time (minute)	_	3.3 (1.8)	1.7 (1.5)	<0.001

Data are n (%) or mean (SD). NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; NOS, not otherwise specified; SMARCA4-UT, SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumor.

EBUS-guided biopsies were generally safe, with minor bleeding as the most frequently encountered complication.²⁸ No cases of pneumomediastinum, serious bleeding, mediastinal infection, or perioperative death were observed. Post-procedural pneumothorax occurred in 1.5 % of participants with no clinical symptoms, and was self-resolving with no need for drainage. The study design precludes a definitive attribution of these adverse events solely to needle aspiration or its supplementation.

The present study has several limitations. First, because of initial safety concerns, we opted to biopsy only the most suspicious lesion, and it is therefore possible that the utility of these sampling methodologies could thus be impaired. Indeed, the overall diagnostic yields observed in this study are slightly lower than those reported in literature. Nevertheless, the discrepancy might be primarily ascribed to the large proportion of non-lung cancer cases in our cohort, and the strict criteria that the plausible benign diagnoses were judged as negative (nearly one tenth of the cohort). Second, a 1.5- rather than a 1.9 mm biopsy forceps was applied in this trial, due to the challenge of passing the latter through the bronchoscopy's working channel, which may limit the sample volume that can be retrieved by the forceps. Recent studies, however, indicate that the diagnostic accuracy of these two different forceps sizes is comparable.^{29,30} Finally, on-site cytology was not routinely performed in order to avoid bias in diagnostic analyses. Yet, EBUS procedures followed current guidelines, suggesting no significant influence of ROSE on diagnostic accuracy.³¹

Conclusion

We here report the first prospective trial to evaluate the use of forceps versus cryoprobe for the diagnosis of mediastinal lesions. In comparison with forceps biopsy, substantially larger tissue samples can be obtained by bronchoscopic cryosampling within shorter time, indicating enhanced effectiveness. In direct head-to-head comparison, cryobiopsy was superior to forceps biopsy in overall diagnostic yield, yet this difference was no longer significant when both techniques were used as add-on to needle aspiration. Needle aspiration supplemented by cryobiopsy remained, however, superior for lung cancer molecular testings compared to the combination of EBUS-TBNA and forceps biopsy. As such, transbronchial mediastinal cryobiopsy might be a valuable adjunct to conventional needle-based biopsy.

Declaration of Competing Interest

Felix JF Herth received honoraria for advisory board activities and lecture fees from Pulmonx, Erbe, Olympus, and Uptake. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: FJH and YF. Data curation: TLC, JZ1 (Jing Zhang), JW, JZ2 (Jing Zhao), and KK. Formal analysis: WLF, NW, WMK, and YF. Investigation: ZSH and FJH. Supervision: WMK, FJH, and YF. Writing – original draft: WMK, FJH, and YF. Writing - review & editing: all authors. Final approval of the article: all authors. TLC, ZSH, JZ1 (Jing Zhang), JW, and JZ2 (Jing Zhao) contributed equally to this work. WMK, FJH, and YF contributed equally to this article as senior authors and supervised the work.

Funding

This project was supported by grants from the was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82122003, 82370061).

Consent for publication

Consent obtained directly from patient(s).

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the respective ethics committees at each site (the University of Heidelberg, S-270/2001; and the Third Military Medical University, 2019–062–01). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Availability of data and materials

All participants have signed informed consent for the permission to use of their data. The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

We thank all the patients and their families, clinicians, pathologists, and radiologists for their contribution to the study.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.pulmoe.2023. 12.002.

References

- Annema JT, van Meerbeeck JP, Rintoul RC, Dooms C, Deschepper E, Dekkers OM, et al. Mediastinoscopy vs endosonography for mediastinal nodal staging of lung cancer: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2010;304(20):2245–52.
- Centeno C, Serra Mitja P, Avila M, Carcereny E, Muñoz-Mármol AM, Moran T, et al. Molecular analysis in cytological samples obtained by endobronchial or oesophageal ultrasound guided needle aspiration in non-small cell lung cancer. Pulmonology. 2022;28(1):28–33.
- The American Thoracic Society and The European Respiratory Society. Pretreatment evaluation of non-small-cell lung cancer. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1997;156:320-32.
- Eapen GA, Shah AM, Lei X, Jimenez CA, Morice RC, Yarmus L, et al. Complications, consequences, and practice patterns of endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration: results of the AQuIRE registry. Chest. 2013;143 (4):1044–53.
- 5. Franke KJ, Bruckner C, Szyrach M, Ruhle KH, Nilius G, Theegarten D. The contribution of endobronchial ultrasound-guided forceps biopsy in the diagnostic workup of unexplained mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy. Lung. 2012;190:227–32.
- 6. Herth FJ, Morgan RK, Eberhardt R, Ernst A. Endobronchial ultrasound-guided miniforceps biopsy in the biopsy of subcarinal masses in patients with low likelihood of non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg. 2008;85(6):1874–8.
- Mondoni M, Rinaldo RF, Carlucci P, Terraneo S, Saderi L, Centanni S, et al. Bronchoscopic sampling techniques in the era of technological bronchoscopy. Pulmonology. 2022;28(6):461–71.
- 8. Griff S, Ammenwerth W, Schönfeld N, Bauer TT, Mairinger T, Blum TG, et al. Morphometrical analysis of transbronchial cryobiopsies. Diagn Pathol. 2011;6:53.
- 9. Dhooria S, Sehgal IS, Aggarwal AN, Behera D, Agarwal R. Diagnostic yield and safety of cryoprobe transbronchial lung biopsy in diffuse parenchymal lung diseases: systematic review and meta-analysis. Respir Care. 2016;61(5):700–12.
- **10.** Zhang J, Fu WL, Huang ZS, Guo JR, Li Q, Herth FJF, et al. Primary mediastinal seminoma achieved by transbronchial mediastinal cryobiopsy. Respiration. 2020;99(5):426–30.
- 11. Zhang J, Guo JR, Huang ZS, Fu WL, Wu XL, Wu N, et al. Transbronchial mediastinal cryobiopsy in the diagnosis of mediastinal lesions: a randomised trial. Eur Respir J. 2021;58(6):2100055.
- 12. Fan Y, Zhang AM, Wu XL, Huang ZS, Kontogianni K, Sun K, et al. Transbronchial needle aspiration combined with cryobiopsy in the diagnosis of mediastinal diseases: a multicentre, openlabel, randomised trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2023;11(3):256–64.
- **13.** Ray AS, Li C, Murphy TE, Cai G, Araujo KLB, Bramley K, et al. Improved diagnostic yield and specimen quality with endobronchial ultrasound-guided forceps biopsies: a retrospective analysis. Ann Thorac Surg. 2020;109(3):894–901.
- Bramley K, Pisani MA, Murphy TE, Araujo KL, Homer RJ, Puchalski JT. Endobronchial ultrasound-guided cautery-assisted transbronchial forceps biopsies: safety and sensitivity relative to transbronchial needle aspiration. Ann Thorac Surg. 2016;101 (5):1870–6.
- **15.** Herth FJ, Annema JT, Eberhardt R, Yasufuku K, Ernst A, Krasnik M, et al. Endobronchial ultrasound with transbronchial needle aspiration for restaging the mediastinum in lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(20):3346–50.

- **16.** Detterbeck FC, Jantz MA, Wallace M, Vansteenkiste J, Silvestri GA. American college of chest physicians. Invasive mediastinal staging of lung cancer: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (2nd edition). Chest. 2007;132:2025–205.
- Wong M, Yasufuku K, Nakajima T, Herth FJ, Sekine Y, Shibuya K, et al. Endobronchial ultrasound: new insight for the diagnosis of sarcoidosis. Eur Respir J. 2007;29(6):1182–6.
- Nakajima T, Yasufuku K, Takahashi R, Shingyoji M, Hirata T, Itami M, et al. Comparison of 21-gauge and 22-gauge aspiration needle during endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration. Respirology. 2011;16(1):90–4.
- Darwiche K, Freitag L, Nair A, Neumann C, Karpf-Wissel R, Welter S, et al. Evaluation of a novel endobronchial ultrasoundguided lymph node forceps in enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes. Respiration. 2013;86(3):229–36.
- Ravaglia C, Sultani F, Piciucchi S, Dubini A, De Grauw AJ, Martinello S, et al. Diagnostic yield and safety of transbronchial lung cryobiopsy for diffuse parenchymal lung diseases diagnosis: comparison between 1.7-mm and 1.9-mm probes. Pulmonology. 2023;S2531-0437(23):00081-8.
- Sryma PB, Mittal S, Madan NK, Tiwari P, Hadda V, Mohan A, et al. Efficacy of radial endobronchial ultrasound (R-EBUS) guided transbronchial cryobiopsy for peripheral pulmonary lesions (PPL...s): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pulmonology. 2023;29(1):50–64.
- 22. Agrawal A, Ghori U, Chaddha U, Murgu S. Combined EBUS-IFB and EBUS-TBNA vs EBUS-TBNA alone for intrathoracic adenopathy: a meta-analysis. Ann Thorac Surg. 2022;114 (1):340-8.
- 23. Hetzel J, Eberhardt R, Herth FJ, Petermann C, Reichle G, Freitag L, et al. Cryobiopsy increases the diagnostic yield of

endobronchial biopsy: a multicentre trial. Eur Respir J. 2012;39 (3):685–90.

- 24. Schuhmann M, Bostanci K, Bugalho A, Warth A, Schnabel PA, Herth FJ, et al. Endobronchial ultrasound-guided cryobiopsies in peripheral pulmonary lesions: a feasibility study. Eur Respir J. 2014;43(1):233–9.
- **25.** Tang Y, Tian S, Chen H, Li X, Pu X, Zhang X, et al. Transbronchial lung cryobiopsy for peripheral pulmonary lesions. A narrative review. Pulmonology. 2023;S2531-0437(23). 00163-0.
- Schumann C, Hetzel J, Babiak AJ, Merk T, Wibmer T, Möller P, et al. Cryoprobe biopsy increases the diagnostic yield in endobronchial tumor lesions. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2010;140 (2):417–21.
- 27. Haentschel M, Boeckeler M, Ehab A, Wagner R, Spengler W, Steger V, et al. Cryobiopsy increases the EGFR detection rate in non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2020;141:56–63.
- von Bartheld MB, van Breda A, Annema JT. Complication rate of endosonography (endobronchial and endoscopic ultrasound): a systematic review. Respiration. 2014;87(4):343–51.
- **29.** Imabayashi T, Matsumoto Y, Uchimura K, Furuse H, Tsuchida T. Computed tomography bronchus sign subclassification during radial endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial biopsy: a retrospective analysis. Diagnostics (Basel). 2023;13(6):1064.
- 30. Oki M, Saka H, Ando M, Asano F, Kurimoto N, Morita K, et al. Ultrathin bronchoscopy with multimodal devices for peripheral pulmonary lesions. A randomized trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;192(4):468–76.
- **31.** Wahidi MM, Herth F, Yasufuku K, Shepherd RW, Yarmus L, Chawla M, et al. Technical aspects of endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration: CHEST guideline and expert panel report. Chest. 2016;149(3):816–35.