



COMMENT

What do we know about macrolides immunomodulatory therapeutic potential in respiratory disease in 2023



Daniela Godinho^{a,*}, Marta Freixa^b, Filipe Froes^a

^a Thorax Department, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal

^b Internal Medicine, Hospital Pulido Valente, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal

Received 23 October 2023; accepted 1 February 2024

Available online 24 February 2024

Dear editor,

The broad antibacterial activities of macrolides have led to their widespread use on infections, particularly for respiratory infections. Many of the macrolide-susceptible microorganisms are respiratory pathogens known to be associated with exacerbations of asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), bronchiectasis (BE) and cystic fibrosis (CF). Macrolides have been widely used to treat respiratory diseases, often beyond its acute antimicrobial use (Table 1), and they have long been accepted to show effects on inflammatory and immune cells, mucus secretion, and epithelial cell differentiation. Anti-inflammatory and immuno-modulatory actions, independent of their actions on bacteria, add to their therapeutic benefit in infectious and chronic inflammatory disorders, recognition that dates to the 1960s.¹ Long-term treatment improves clinical outcomes in several respiratory diseases, such as in symptoms, lung function, quality of life (QoL) and a significant exacerbation frequency reduction in COPD (30 to 60%), asthma, CF and BE, despite diversity in pathophysiology between these conditions, suggesting a degree of shared biology.² Thus, as macrolides show a positive effect in diseases where macrolide-resistant organisms are prevailing, and at dosages below the antibacterial threshold, this suggests that this effect may not be a result of antibacterial activity. This is further highlighted by reports that airway bacterial load remains unchanged.¹ However, up till now, there has been limited success in defining the essential molecular mechanisms underlying these

activities. By understanding them, it might be possible to enhance their non-antibiotic functions, apply them to other inflammatory diseases, avoid side effects, and limit bacterial resistance. Clinical studies exploring the long-term effects of macrolides in chronic lung diseases have reported adverse events including hearing impairment, gastrointestinal disarrangement and, although rare, cardiotoxicity – extension of QT interval (reported in several COVID-19 trials of azithromycin (AZM)) and inhibition of proarrhythmogenic drugs metabolism, leading to syncope and sudden death. The long-term use is also limited by the induction of bacterial resistance, and there is a concern about the length of low-dose macrolide therapy that starts to increase bacterial resistance. Thus, there is a need for new nonantibacterial macrolides that have similar effective disease-modifying properties without the risk of resistance.¹ It is reported that macrolides reduce immune cell infiltration into the lungs of asthmatics and BE patients, and it is suggested that leukocyte migration into the lung is restricted by reducing chemokine and adhesion molecule production by airway epithelial cells.² Multiple potential effects on macrophage function have been described: macrophage phenotype depends largely on the cytokine environment and is simplified to pro-inflammatory (M1-like) and anti-inflammatory (M2-like). Macrolides are consistently reported to direct macrophage precursors and existing M1 cells towards an M2 phenotype *in vitro* and subsequently change macrophage cytokine production, to enhance the phagocytic capacity and efferocytosis - the phagocytic clearance of dead cells - as apoptotic bronchial epithelial cells and neutrophils. This may be partially responsible for macrolide efficacy in COPD, where impaired

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: danielagodinho@campus.ul.pt (D. Godinho).

Table 1 Clinical outcomes of macrolides according to each respiratory disease.

Respiratory disease	Clinical outcome
Asthma	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduction on exacerbations and improve in QoL¹ • AZM should be considered as a useful <i>add-on</i> therapy for patients with persistent asthma already receiving treatment with high-dose ICS and LABA¹ • As a disease not typically associated with chronic bacterial infection, the efficacy of AZM in reducing exacerbations further supports an immunomodulatory effect - in the AMAZES trial of 420 patients⁵, exacerbations were reduced by 41 %, with similar results between eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic asthma. These data support that macrolides are having effects greater than would be expected from bacterial clearance alone, and their efficacy in patients without clear evidence of bacterial infection suggests an immunomodulatory mechanism²
Bronchiectasis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suppression on bacterial infection and inflammation and reduction in exacerbations of 51 % with fewer symptoms, improved lung function and QoL⁶ • The group of patients with the greatest response were the ones chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i>, an organism that is not traditionally considered susceptible to macrolide antibiotics²
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduction on exacerbations² • Proposed maintenance treatment with AZM in patients who have a frequent exacerbator phenotype and are refractory to <i>standard of care</i>¹ • Inclusion of AZM in the 2023 GOLD guidelines as an <i>add-on</i> therapy¹
Cystic Fibrosis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Randomized trials in patients chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> were clearly positive with improvements in FEV1 - FEV1 > 30 % of predicted at baseline - and prolonged time to first exacerbation⁴, while no improvements in lung function in patients without <i>P. aeruginosa</i> were observed, although exacerbations were still reduced² • Maintenance therapy with AZM in chronically infected patients with CF is now a recommendation in clinical guidelines¹
Diffuse pan bronchiolitis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The recognition of macrolides properties in 1960s is due to several reports in Japan that appeared demonstrating dramatic decreases in disease activity and mortality among patients with the inflammatory lung disease DPB, as a result of treatment with erythromycin. Untreated, the disease is fatal in 50 % of patients within 5 years of diagnosis, but chronic treatment with erythromycin (ERM) is effective in 90 % of patients with DPB¹ • ERM was found to decrease IL-1b, IL-8 and neutrophils in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, sputum volume and lung infiltration¹ • A recent systematic review of macrolide treatment of DPB in Japan, Korea, and China reports that the incidence of DPB is declining, at least partially because of the use of macrolides¹
Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A retrospective analysis of hospitalized patients with acute exacerbation of IPF revealed that treatment with AZM (500 mg/d for 5 days) was significantly more effective than fluoroquinolones in reducing 60-day mortality¹ • Prophylactic treatment with AZM (250 mg/kg, 3 times per week) for up to 12 months significantly reduce hospitalization rate¹ • In a murine bleomycin (Bleomycin)-induced pulmonary fibrosis model of IPF, AZM given at an early stage reduce both pulmonary fibrosis and lung function limitation¹ • AZM inhibits collagen generation by primary human IPF fibroblasts, not by healthy human fibroblasts, suggesting an alternative target for the macrolide in this disease¹
Pneumonia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduction in mortality associated with macrolide treatment in severely ill patients with a high inflammatory response³ • Benefit most pronounced in pneumococcal pneumonia, regardless of whether the causative strain is macrolide resistant, what could derive from inhibition of pneumolysin, a toxin produced by pneumococci, or macrolide-stimulated elimination of the bacterial reservoir in splenic macrophages • In patients with community-acquired pneumonia unresponsive to treatment after 72h, those receiving macrolides had lower concentrations of cytokines (IL-6 and TNF-α) in BLF, and a shorter time to clinical stability³ • Inhibition of <i>quorum sensing</i>, a mechanism used by bacteria to increase their virulence capacity to infect a host in response to changes in the density of the bacterial population³

clearance of apoptotic bronchial epithelial cells is considered a key part of the pathophysiology.²

Neutrophils eliminate infection in a variety of ways including phagocytosis, degranulation and the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps. It is thought that a central mechanism behind the positive clinical outcomes of macrolide treatment is the mitigation of neutrophil responses. Prolonged neutrophil lifespan caused by delayed apoptosis is thought to be prominent in many chronic diseases, and macrolides shorten it by inducing apoptosis, reducing the likelihood of cells undergoing necrosis and releasing inflammatory mediators into the local lung environment. Enhanced neutrophil apoptosis together with the macrolide-induced enhanced efferocytosis capacity of macrophages promotes an anti-inflammatory environment.¹ Dendritic cell (DC) phenotypic plasticity allows for regulation of immune responses, often perturbed in inflammatory disease where DCs extend chronic inflammation and tissue damage. Macrolides modulate T-cell function both directly and indirectly - induction of a tolerogenic-*like* DC phenotype is likely to suppress T-cell activation and proliferation or induce formation of anti-inflammatory Treg- cells. Low-dose macrolide therapy might increase apoptosis of specific T-cell subsets, highlighted by decreased CD8+ and unchanged CD4+ in macrolide-treated patients, what may be a consequence of the reduction in pro-inflammatory cytokines involved in recruitment and proliferation, rather than a direct effect of macrolides on T-cell.² Macrolides can be modified to eliminate their antibacterial effects while keeping or enhancing their immunomodulatory capacity, and can also be coupled with other molecules, such as steroids, antimicrobial peptides, or small signalling molecules to enhance their potency. Given that macrolides are relatively stable *in vivo* and accumulate in phagocytes, they are excellent carrier molecules to deliver drugs or signals specifically to phagosomes or inflammation places.³ Although taking advantage of the beneficial immunomodulatory effects of macrolides is an appealing perspective, clinical evidence is scarce at present, and caution is required in terms of safety and antimicrobial resistance. Further studies are needed to verify whether this could be a valid therapeutic, to establish which clinical or immunological subsets of patients might benefit the most,

and to further improve in new non-antibiotic macrolides, while preserving the use of macrolides as antibiotics.³

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Daniela Godinho: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Marta Freixa:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Filipe Froes:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Kricker JA, Page CP, Gardarsson FR, Baldursson O, Gudjonsson T, Parnham MJ. Nonantimicrobial actions of macrolides: overview and perspectives for future development. *Pharmac. Rev.* 2021;73:1404–33. <https://doi.org/10.1124/pharmrev.121.000300>.
2. Pollock J, Chalmers JD. The immunomodulatory effects of macrolide antibiotics in respiratory disease. *Pulmo. Pharmac. Therap.* 2021;71:102095. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pupt.2021.102095>.
3. Reijnders TDY, Saris A, Schultz MJ, van der Poll T. Immunomodulation by macrolides: therapeutic potential for critical care. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 2020;8:619–30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(20\)30080-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30080-1).
4. Saiman L, Marshall BC, Mayer-Hamblett N. Azithromycin in patients with cystic fibrosis chronically infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a randomized controlled trial. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 2003;290(13):1749–56. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.290.13.1749>.
5. Gibson P, Yang IA, Upham JW, Reynolds PN, Hodges S, James AL. Effect of azithromycin on asthma exacerbations and quality of life in adults with persistent uncontrolled asthma (AMAZES): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2017;390(10095):659–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)31281-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31281-3).
6. Kelly C, Chalmers JD, Crossingham I, Relph N, Felix LM, Evans DJ, Milan SJ, Spencer S. Macrolide antibiotics for bronchiectasis. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2018;3(3). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012406.pub2>.